

The Dynamic Nature of Procrastination

Peiyuan Zhang

New York University, New York City, New York, United States

Yijun Lin

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States

Falk Lieder

University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States

Wei Ji Ma

New York University, New York, New York, United States

Abstract

Procrastination is often characterized as minimal progress initially, with a significant increase in progress shortly before the deadlines. Yet, the cognitive mechanisms underlying this intriguing dynamic feature of procrastination—the time course of progress—remain poorly understood. We investigated this through an experiment where participants worked on a self-paced, week-long online reading task consisting of numerous work units ($N = 611$). We proposed two models that fit each individual's time course of progress. Both models consider the time course of progress as the output of sequential decision-making: whether to work now (and, if so, how much) or later. The first, a normative model, calculates the value of making progress using the Bellman equation; the second, a roll-out model, estimates this value by simulating future work progress. We found that the rollout model fit the data much better, suggesting some evidence against people behaving rationally and some evidence for people simulating future work progress.