# Overview of Soar as a Unified Theory of Cognition: Spring 1993

**Paul S. Rosenbloom**
Information Sciences Institute &
Department of Computer Science
University of Southern California
4676 Admiralty Way
Marina del Rey, CA 90292
rosenbloom@isi.edu

**Jill Fain Lehman**
School of Computer Science
Carnegie Mellon University
5000 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
jef@cs.cmu.edu

**John E. Laird**
Artificial Intelligence Laboratory
1101 Beal Avenue
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2110
laird@eecs.umich.edu

## Abstract

This article provides a very brief overview of the current status, as of Spring 1993, of Soar as a unified theory of cognition. Moreover, it serves to set the stage for the detailed discussions of individual Soar systems in the three papers that follow. We begin by summarizing the structure of Soar as a cognitive system, and then outline its status as a unified theory of cognition.

## Soar

Any number of descriptions of Soar, at various levels of detail and from different perspectives, have already appeared in published work. Many of these descriptions can be found in (Rosenbloom, Laird, & Newell, 1993a; Rosenbloom, Laird, & Newell, 1993b). The most detailed of the recent descriptions can be found in (Newell, 1990) and in the combination of (Laird *et al*, 1990) and (Doorenbos, 1992). Here, we briefly summarize Soar based on a recent description for a cognitive/neural science audience (Polk & Rosenbloom, 1993).

Soar can be characterized via a hierarchy of four levels of description. At the top, Soar can be described at the *knowledge level* (Newell, 1982) as possessing goals and knowledge, having a body that provides perception and action capabilities, and choosing actions which its knowledge says will achieve its goals. This level of description abstracts away from all issues of internal structure and process. The abstraction aids in specifying the desired behavior of the system and in generating some classes of descriptions and predictions of its behavior, but it is also clearly too abstract for many other purposes.

At the *problem-space level*, Soar can be described as a set of interacting problem spaces, where each problem space represents the static and dynamic aspects of a small semi-independent fragment of the internal or external world (Newell *et al*, 1991). Soar approximates an ideal knowledge-level system to the extent that its knowledge – cast as problem spaces – can be used appropriately in selecting actions for its goals.

At the *architecture (or symbol) level* (Newell, Rosenbloom & Laird, 1989), Soar can be described as a hierarchy of four different layers of behavioral control (Laird & Rosenbloom, 1990a), which jointly implement the problem-space level. Behavioral functionality and flexibility increase with the height of the layer, but the minimum cycle time of the control loop also increases correspondingly. Starting from the bottom, the *module layer* provides a set of independent perceptual, motor, and perceptual-motor units. The *association layer* provides a parallel layer of associations – structured as productions – which mediate the interactions among the modules by providing some amount of coordination and communication. The *decision layer* provides the ability to base control decisions on multi-cycle associative stabilization. Behavior at this level proceeds through repetition of a two-phase cycle: first all matched associations are fired until no more are eligible, and then choices are made based on *preferences* retrieved by the associations. The *reflective layer* provides the ability to step back and reflect on impasses in decision making, and to learn from these reflective steps.

At the *technology level*, Soar can be described as a C program on particular hardware, though there are also attempts to move Soar over to an alternative technology based on neural networks (Newell, 1990; Rosenbloom, 1989; Cho, Rosenbloom, & Dolan, 1991).

## Soar as a Unified Theory of Cognition

The goal of creating a full unified theory of

cognition is both worthwhile and difficult to achieve. As with any endeavor of such broad scope, it requires a long-term commitment to incremental theoretical development. At any point in time, there will be capabilities that the theory supports strongly, those that constitute the leading edge, and those that remain unexplored. The most detailed extant overview of Soar as a unified theory of cognition, captured at one point in time, can be found in (Newell, 1990). The cognitive science community's response to Soar's status at that time, as captured in the commentaries in (Newell *et al*, 1992), provides a list of perceived weaknesses that we have taken as challenges to be met.[1] Though the published response to these commentaries does counter some of the challenges, it is still useful to reproduce the full list here as a way to organize recent advances and indicate new frontiers. Each of the subitems in the list below represents work done subsequent to (Newell, 1990) that is, at least in part, attempting to respond to the challenges. These subitems are derived primarily from the presentations at the Eleventh Soar Workshop (held at Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, October 23-25, 1992). More exhaustive overviews of recent advances can be found in (Lewis *et al*, 1990; Michon & Akyürek, 1992; Rosenbloom, Laird, & Newell, 1993a; Rosenbloom, Laird, & Newell, 1993b).

- The perceptual-motor system
  - Covert Visual Attention (Wiesmeyer, 1992)
  - Intelligent tutoring in interactive environments (Ward, 1991; Hill & Johnson, 1993)
  - Modeling student education in an interactive microworld (Conati & Lehman, 1993)
- The treatment of psychological data and experiments
  - Verbal reasoning (Polk, 1992)
  - Psycholinguistic phenomena (Lewis, 1992)
- Language
  - Natural language comprehension (Lehman, Lewis, & Newell, 1991; Lewis, 1992; Lehman, Newell, Polk, & Lewis, 1993)
  - Learning from natural language instructions (Huffman & Laird, 1993)
- The undetermined character of psychological theory in Soar
  - Deriving a UTC's constraints on task models (Huffman, 1993)
- The AI bias of Soar
- Consciousness
- Short-term memory
  - Working memory and short-term memory (John, 1991)
- Emotion and noncognitive aspects
  - Modeling agents in organizations (Carley *et al*, 1992)
- Uncertainty
- Integration
  - Planning, execution, and learning (Laird & Rosenbloom, 1990b)
  - Task execution and natural language instruction (Huffman & Laird, 1993)
  - Natural language dialog in the NASA Test Director Model
- Induction
  - Concept acquisition (Miller & Laird, 1991; Rosenbloom & Aasman, 1990)
- Interruption and dual tasks
  - Robot control (Laird *et al*, 1991)
- Individual differences
  - Verbal reasoning (Polk, 1992)
- Skill
  - Skill acquisition in scheduling
  - Modeling the NASA Test Director (John, Remington and Steier, 1991)
  - Modeling pilot behavior (and flying simulated planes) (Jones *et al*, 1993; Pearson *et al*, 1993)
  - Skilled rapid interaction (John, Vera & Newell, 1990)
- Development
  - Number conservation ,(Simon, Newell & Klahr, 1991)
- Recall without recognition
- Item recognition

Though this list of challenges and research topics cannot substitute for a systematic analysis of Soar's ability to match the full range of human cognition, it does provide a good snapshot of the current frontiers in Soar's development. Additional topics presented at the Eleventh Soar Workshop that do not respond straightforwardly to the challenges, nonetheless demonstrate further the diversity and vitality of research in Soar as a unified theory of cognition, and provide additional insight into the current frontiers of development:

- Acquisition of abductive expertise (Johnson &

[1] A second list of challenges, stemming more from the artificial intelligence community, can be found in (Stefik & Smoliar, 1993).

Smith, 1991)

- Acquisition of causal knowledge
- Einstellung (Tambe & Rosenbloom, 1993)
- Medical problem solving (Bayazitoglu, Smith, & Johnson, 1992)
- Music cognition (Scarborough, Manolios, & Jones, 1992)
- Interaction with external devices
- Human usability of Soar
- Studies of knowledge acquisition
- Environments for protocol analysis
- Flexible route planning (Stobie, Tambe, & Rosenbloom, 1992)
- Scale-up, efficiency, and computational bounds on Soar systems (Acharya & Tambe, 1992; Doorenbos, Tambe, & Newell, 1992; Doorenbos, 1993; Kim & Rosenbloom, 1993)

## References


Acharya, A., & Tambe, M. 1992. *Collection-oriented match: Scaling up the data in production systems* (Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-92-218). Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science.

Bayazitoglu, A., Smith, J. W., & Johnson, T. R. 1992. A diagnostic system that learns from experience. *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care (SCAMC-92).* , McGraw-Hill. pp. 685-689.

Carley, K., Kjaer-Hansen, J., Prietula, M. and Newell, A. 1992. Plural-Soar: A Prolegomenon to Artificial Agents and Organizational Behavior. In Masuch, M. and Massimo, G. (eds.) (Eds.), *Distributed Intelligence: Applications in Human Organizations.* Amsterdam: Elsevier Press. To appear.

Cho, B., Rosenbloom, P. S., & Dolan, C. P. 1991. Neuro-Soar: A neural-network architecture for goal-oriented behavior. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society.* Chicago, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. pp. 673-677.

Conati, C. and Lehman, J. Fain. 1993. Toward a Model of Student Education in Microworlds. *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society.* Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum. pp. this volume.

Doorenbos, B. 1992. Release Notes for Soar 6.

Doorenbos, B. 1993. Matching 100,000 learned rules. *Proceedings of the Eleventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence.* AAAI, AAAI Press, In press.

Doorenbos, B., Tambe, M., & Newell, A. 1992. Learning 10,000 chunks: What's it like out there? *Proceedings of the Tenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence.* Menlo Park: AAAI, AAAI Press. pp. 830-836.

Hill, R. W., & Johnson, W. L. 1993. Designing an intelligent tutoring system based on a reactive model of skill acquisition. *Proceedings of the World Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AI-ED 93).* Edinburgh, Scotland, In press.

Huffman, S. B., Miller, C. S., and Laird, J. E. 1993. Learning from instruction: A knowledge-level capability within a unified theory of of cognition. *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society.* Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum. pp. this volume.

Huffman, S. B., & Laird, J. E. 1993. Learning procedures from interactive natural language instructions. P. Utgoff (Ed.), *Machine Learning: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference (ML93).* Amherst, In press.

John, B. E. 1991. Short-Term Memory in Soar. Talk presented at panel on Diverse Perspectives on Working Memory at the *Thirteenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society,* Aug, 1991.

John, B.E., Remington, R.W. and Steier, D.M. May 1991. *An Analysis of Space Shuttle Countdown Activities: Preliminaries to a Computational Model of the NASA Test Director* (Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-91-138). School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University.

John, B. E., Vera, A. H., & Newell, A. 1990. *Towards Real-Time GOMS* (Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-90-195). Pittsburgh, PA: School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University.

Johnson, T. R., & Smith, J. W. 1991. A framework for opportunistic abductive strategies. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society.* Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum. pp. 760-764.

Jones, R. M., Tambe, M., Laird, J. E., & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1993. Intelligent automated agents for flight training simulators. *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Computer Generated Forces and Behavior Representation.* Orlando, In Press.

Kim, J. & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1993. Constraining learning with search control. P. Utgoff (Ed.), *Machine Learning: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference (ML93).* Amherst, In press.

Laird, J. E., & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1990. Integrating execution, planning, and learning in Soar for external environments. *Proceedings of the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence.* Boston: AAAI, MIT Press. pp. 1022-1029.

Laird, J.E. & Rosenbloom, P.S. July 1990. Integrating execution, planning, and learning in Soar for external environments. *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence.* .

Laird, J. E., Congdon, C. B., Altmann, E., & Swedlow, K. R. 1990. *Soar User's Manual: Version 5.2.*

Available as Carnegie Mellon University, Department of Computer Science, Technical Report CMU-CS-90-179.

Laird, J. E. and Yager, E. S. and Hucka, M. and Tuck, C. M. 1991. Robo-Soar: An integration of external interaction, planning and learning using Soar. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems* , *8*, 113-129.

Lehman, J. F., Lewis, R. L., & Newell, A. 1991. Integrating knowledge sources in language comprehension. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*. Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum. pp. 461-466.

Lehman, J. F., Newell, A., Polk, T. A., & Lewis, R. L. 1993. The role of language in cognition. In G. Harman (Ed.), *Conceptions of the Human Mind*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. Forthcoming.

Lewis, R. L. May 1992. *Recent developments in the NL-Soar garden path theory* (Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-92-141). School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University.

Lewis, R. L., Huffman, S. B., John, B. E., Laird, J. E., Lehman, J. F., Newell, A., Rosenbloom, P. S., Simon, T., & Tessler, S. G. 1990. Soar as a unified theory of cognition: Spring 1990. *Proceedings of the 12th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. Cambridge, MA. pp. 1035-1042.

Michon, J. A. & Akyürek, A. (Eds.) 1992. *Soar: A Cognitive Architecture in Perspective*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Miller, C. S. & Laird, J. E. 1991. A constraint-motivated model of concept formation. *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*. Hillsdale, NJ, Erlbaum. pp. 827-831.

Newell, A. 1982. The knowledge level. *Artificial Intelligence* , *18*, 87-127.

Newell, A. 1990. *Unified Theories of Cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Newell, A., Yost, G. R., Laird, J. E., Rosenbloom, P. S., & Altmann, E. 1991. Formulating the problem space computational model. In R. F. Rashid (Ed.), *CMU Computer Science: A 25th Anniversary Commemorative*. New York: ACM Press/Addison-Wesley.

Newell, A. & Numerous Reviewers. 1992. Precis, commentary, and response on *Unified Theories of Cognition. Behavioral and Brain Sciences* , *15*, 425-492.

Newell, A., Rosenbloom, P. S., & Laird, J. E. 1989. Symbolic architectures for cognition. In M. I. Posner (Ed.), *Foundations of Cognitive Science*. Cambridge, MA: Bradford Books/MIT Press.

Pearson, D. J., Huffman, S. B., Willis, M. B., Laird, J. E., & Jones, R. M. 1993. Intelligent multi-level control in a highly reactive domain. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Autonomous Systems*. , In press.

Polk, T. A. 1992. *Verbal Reasoning*. Doctoral dissertation, Carnegie Mellon University, (Available as Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science Technical Report CMU-CS-92-178.).

Polk, T. A. & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1993. Task-independent constraints on a unified theory of cognition. In F. Boller & J. Grafman (Eds.), *Handbook of Neuropsychology: Volume 9*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. In press.

Rosenbloom, P. S. 1989. A symbolic goal-oriented perspective on connectionism and Soar. In R. Pfeifer, Z. Schreter, F. Fogelman-Soulie, & L. Steels (Eds.), *Connectionism in Perspective*. Amsterdam: Elsevier (North-Holland).

Rosenbloom, P. S. & Aasman, J. 1990. Knowledge level and inductive uses of chunking (EBL). *Proceedings of the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Boston: AAAI, MIT Press. pp. 821-827.

Rosenbloom, P. S., Laird, J. E., & Newell, A. (Eds.) 1993. *The Soar Papers: Research on Integrated Intelligence (Volume One)*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. In Press.

Rosenbloom, P. S., Laird, J. E., & Newell, A. (Eds.) 1993. *The Soar Papers: Research on Integrated Intelligence (Volume Two)*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. In Press.

Scarborough, D. L., Manolios, P., and Jones, J. A. 1992. MusicSoar: Soar as an architecture for music cognition. *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*. . pp. 1104-1109.

Simon, T., Newell, A., & Klahr, D. 1991. Q-Soar: A computational account of children's learning about number conservation. In Fisher, D., & Pazzani, M. (Eds.), *Computational Approaches to Concept Formation*. Los Altos, CA: Morgan Kauffman.

Stefik, M. J., & Smoliar, S. W. (Review Eds.). 1993. Eight reviews of *Unified Theories of Cognition* and a response. *Artificial Intelligence* , *59*, 261-413.

Stobie, I., Tambe, M., & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1992. Flexible integration of path-planning capabilities. *Proceedings of the SPIE conference on Mobile Robots*. SPIE.

Tambe, M. & Rosenbloom, P. S. 1993. On the masking effect. *Proceedings of the Eleventh National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Washington, D.C.: AAAI, Accepted.

Ward, B. May 1991. *ET-Soar: Toward an ITS for Theory-Based Representations*. Doctoral dissertation, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University,

Wiesmeyer, M.D. 1992. *An Operator-Based Model of Human Covert Visual Attention*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, CSE-TR-123-92.