

LATE SECOND INTERMEDIATE PERIOD
TO EARLY NEW KINGDOM

نهاية عصر الإنتقال الثانى
حتى بدايات عصر الدولة الحديثة

Lutz Popko

EDITORS

WILLEKE WENDRICH

Editor-in-Chief

University of California, Los Angeles

JACCO DIELEMAN

Editor

University of California, Los Angeles

ELIZABETH FROOD

Editor

University of Oxford

WOLFRAM GRAJETZKI

Area Editor Time and History

University College London

Short Citation: Popko, 2013, Late Second Intermediate Period to Early New Kingdom. *UEE*.

Full Citation

Popko, Lutz, 2013, Late Second Intermediate Period to Early New Kingdom. In Wolfram Grajetzki, Willeke Wendrich (eds.), *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, Los Angeles.

<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002hgq2r>

8766 Version 1, October 2013

<http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002hgq2r>

LATE SECOND INTERMEDIATE PERIOD TO EARLY NEW KINGDOM

نهاية عصر الإنتقال الثاني حتى بدايات عصر الدولة الحديثة

Lutz Popko

De la Deuxième Période Intermédiaire au Nouvel Empire
Die späte Zweite Zwischenzeit bis zum frühen Neuen Reich

The main events of the transition period from the Second Intermediate Period to the New Kingdom are the expulsion of the Hyksos, the reunification of the country, and the reestablishment of a Nubian province. During, and following this process of reunification, the Ahmosids reorganized the administration and started restoration projects. The cultural history is marked by a diverging orientation backward and forward, a view into the past and into the future. In art, architecture, and literature, we can observe archaistic features and a collecting of old knowledge; but at the same time the Egyptians opened up for new ideas, technologies, and concepts. Altogether, this time is regarded as a turning point in history by both the ancient Egyptians and modern Egyptologists; and it is one of the most analyzed periods of ancient Egypt's history.

إن من أهم الأحداث التي جرت في الفترة الإنتقالية من عصر الإنتقال الثاني حتى عصر الدولة الحديثة هي طرد الهيكسوس وتوحيد البلاد وإعادة تأسيس الإقليم النوبي. وخلال مرحلة التوحيد وبعدها أعادت عائلة أحمس إعادة هيكلة المنظومة الإدارية وبدأت في مشاريع الترميم. إن الثقافة التاريخية لهذه الفترة تميزت بانها ذات توجهات متباينة ومثيرة بين المستقبل والماضي، فمثلاً نلاحظ في الفن والعمارة والأدب ظهور عناصر قديمة وجمع من المعرفة القديمة، وفي نفس الوقت إنفتح المصريين على أفكار وتقنيات ومفاهيم جديدة. في المجمل، إعتبر كلاً من المصريين القدماء وعلماء المصريات في الوقت الحديث هذه الفترة كنقطة تحول في التاريخ المصري، وهي من أكثر الفترات التي درست بتاريخ مصر القديمة.

The time of transition from the Second Intermediate Period (SIP) to the New Kingdom (NK) is not a clearly defined period. Its pivotal point is the reign of Ahmose (II; cf. Biston-Moulin 2012), who, since the Ramesside Period, is regarded as the founder of a new dynasty (e.g., Redford 1986: 52)—the 18th Dynasty according to the Manethonian tradition. The main reason for remembering Ahmose seems to be his reunification of Egypt, a result of his expelling the Hyksos. Thus we can characterize his reign as the

time of the conflict between Thebes and Avaris. In a broader sense, frictions between the Egyptians and the Hyksos are to be expected since the division of Egypt and the forming of a Hyksos kingdom in the Delta, and they still influenced the mid-Thutmosid policy towards Asia. The propagandistic offshoots of these frictions are still traceable in the early Ramesside Period, they were revitalized in the Ptolemaic Period (Manetho), and they influenced even the modern perspective on this period: for the occasionally used term “war of liberation,” see

below; and the potentially misdirecting concurrence of “Apophis” as name of both the penultimate Hyksos ruler and the chaos snake only exists in our modern transcription (Gardiner 1935: 30, n. 4; the Greek spellings are compiled by Parthey 1864: 14 - 16). In a narrower sense, the scope of this article will be limited to the period of conflict itself, a period that corresponds roughly to the rule of Ahmose’s family, and which is thus sometimes called the “Ahmosid period.”

History of Research

The Ahmosid era played an important role in regard to how Egypt’s past was viewed: the Ramessides alluded to it after the Amarna Age; Flavius Josephus connected it with the Exodus as playing an essential role for the Jewish culture; in modern Egyptology, it is often emphasized since it is the initial phase of the NK, when Egypt advanced to one of the superpowers of the Near East.

Ahmose was treated as a dynastic founder, while his predecessors were ignored in the monumental Ramesside king-lists and later in Manetho (for exceptions in non-royal lists, see Redford 1986: 39, 43, 45, 48, 51). Therefore they were included in the preceding 17th Dynasty, when they were rediscovered in modern times. This different allocation became important, when the Egyptian history was (re-)structured during the last two centuries: while Lepsius began his NK with the 17th Dynasty, a procedure still followed by Gauthier (1912), it was placed before the start of the NK at the beginning of the twentieth century. Consequently the kings of this historical phase, and the events and phenomena thereof, are not only divided between two different dynasties, but also between two different epochs: the SIP (17th Dynasty) and the NK (18th Dynasty).

Based on a few archeological remains, on some written sources, and on the much later Manethonian records, early Egyptologists painted the picture of a sudden invasion at the end of the Middle Kingdom by the Hyksos, who nearly ruled the entire Near East and who were expelled from Egypt through a war of liberation. Over the years, this picture has changed:

(1) The formerly obscure origin of the Hyksos is now better known: there are cultural connections to the northern Levant (e.g., Bietak 2011). The theory of the sudden invasion is debated, because of the evidence for a long-term immigration of Asiatic people into Egypt, starting in the Middle Kingdom.

(2) The Hyksos scarabs from Palestine, a lion statuette found in Baghdad, as well as vessel fragments from Knossos and Bogazköy, bearing the name of Khian, previously led to the assumption that a huge Hyksos empire existed (e.g., Meyer 2000: 36 - 37, a reprint of the 1952 - 1958 edition). Although this theory was perceived as an over-interpretation already in the first half of the twentieth century, its impact can still be seen half a century later (for Egypt as the legal heir of the Hyksos in Palestine and Syria, see Helck 1971: 114; Hoffmeier 2004: 133; Schmitz 1978: 186). In reality, the Hyksos dominion ranged from Middle Egypt to Southern Palestine.

(3) The modern perspective on the conflict between Thebes and Avaris is primarily based on pro-Theban sources (cf. e.g., Polz 1998), principally on Thutmosid ones, who held the Hyksos responsible for the destruction (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 390.7-9), and generally for all “evil” (Helck 1969: 302), and who created a buffer zone in the Levant to prevent a new invasion (Panagiotopoulos 2000). For that reason, the Hyksos conflict was often seen as a “war of liberation” in modern times. However, only once in the Carnarvon tablet, Kamose spoke about rescuing Egypt (Helck 1983a: 84, l. 4-5). Apart from this propagandistic text, we do not know if contemporaries regarded the conflict as a “liberation” or even as a single “war.” A more positive view on the Hyksos can be seen in Schneider (1998). Morenz (1996: 163 - 167) pointed out the Hyksos’ pursuit of acceptance as Egyptian rulers.

The late nineteenth and early twentieth century mainly concentrated on reconstructing the political history; the last important discoveries were that of the second Stela of Kamose in 1954 (Habachi 1972) and that of the Tempest Stela between 1947 and 1959. The later twentieth and early twenty-first century have been focusing on the social history and on the material culture. The amount of discoveries in the last decades is far better: since 1966, the Hyksos capital Avaris, the most important

settlement of the non-Egyptians of this time, has been excavated at Tell el-Dabaa by the Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften (ÖAW, for literature, see Bibliographic Notes below); and there is also increasing research into various aspects of life and death of the Egyptians (e.g., Kubisch 2008; Seiler 2005). The royal cemetery of the 17th Dynasty is now located at Dra Abu el-Naga (e.g., Polz 2007; for the history of research at this site, cf. furthermore Miniaci 2009). In 1993, Stephen Harvey restarted earlier excavations of the Ahmosid temple complexes at Abydos (Harvey 1998).

State of Chronology

The Ahmosid era is of considerable relevance for the absolute chronology of the second millennium. Papyrus Ebers mentions for year 9 of Amenhotep I one of the few known Sothic dates, but it is disputed whether the rising was observed or calculated, and if the former is the case, from which place it was observed. The “Minoan eruption” of the Thera volcano can be dated by dendrochronology to 1613 ± 13 BCE (Friedrich et al. 2006). But neither the events described on Ahmose’s Tempest Stela nor the Thera pumice in Thutmosid layers of Tell el-Dabaa can be used to synchronize the chronology, because the former’s interpretation is controversial (see below), and the latter can only give a *terminus ante quem*.

Ceramics offers possibilities of linking the Egyptian chronology to the Levantine one (Bietak 2002), but since the absolute chronology of the Levant depends on the Egyptian, the possible chronological conclusions are limited. Helck (1971: 114) connected Ahmose’s later campaign to Asia with the Hittite raid on Babylon, but this hypothesis is rather an implication based on previous chronological calculations than a basis for the synchronization of Egyptian and Near Eastern history.

We have only little data to establish a relative chronology. The length of the reigns of most kings of the 17th Dynasty is unknown. Kamose’s highest known regnal date is year 3. Ahmose ascended the throne around 1550 BCE, at the age of about 10, based on the age at death of the mummy ascribed to

him—but Wente (1995) brought forward doubts concerning its identity. His wife Ahmose-Nefertari is called “king’s mother” in his 22nd year (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 25.5), which implies that Amenhotep I had already been enthroned as a coregent at this time (Murnane 1977: 114 - 115). This year is Ahmose’s last documented regnal date; generally Manetho is followed in ascribing to him 25 years. According to the “chronographer” Amenemhat, Amenhotep I reigned for 21 years (Helck 1983a: 111, l. 1).

Political and Military Events

The political history of this period is completely dominated by the conflict between Thebes and Avaris. The main sources for reconstructing the events are: Seqenenra’s mummy, the first and the second Kamose Stela, a “historical” notice on the recto of Papyrus Rhind (Helck 1983a: 78, no. 113), the biographies of Emhab (Klotz 2010), Tjau (Kubisch 2008: 232 - 234), Ahmose son of Ibana, and of Ahmose Pennekhet, the lance-head Moscow Inv.-No. I.1.a.1762 (Hodjache and Berlev 1977: 24), and the non-existent destruction layer of Avaris. A third Kamose Stela as well as the military relief of Ahmose in Abydos are too damaged to provide a good basis for interpretations; and it is still disputed whether or not the Tempest Stela (Helck 1983a: 104 - 110, no. 124), Ahmose’s Karnak Stela (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 14.1 - 24.6), and the Maasara inscription (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 24.8 - 24.15) can be connected to some events of this conflict.

Some sources could be interpreted as evidence for a Hyksos presence in Upper Egypt, namely sealings of Khian at Tell Edfu (Moeller and Marouard 2011) or some Hyksos “monuments” in Upper Egypt, e.g., Apep’s inscription in Gebelein (von Beckerath 1965: 148 - 150; and Polz 2006). However, we do not know what they actually prove: a long-term domination, short-term raids, Theban booties of war, or pure economic and diplomatic relations. Neferhotep III mentions some trouble with “foreigners” on a stela (Vernus 1982), which could be related to the Hyksos, but also to Nubians (Redford 1981: 253 - 255). The same uncertainty applies to an ash layer in East Karnak (cf. Redford 1981: 253 - 255, and 1995: 103). At least at the end of the SIP, Thebes formed an independent state.

A conflict broke out during Seqenenra's reign, who died in battle judging by his mummy's condition (Bietak and Strouhal 1974). The causes are unknown; and the historical romance of the "Quarrel between Apep and Seqenenra" (Papyrus Sallier I, Gardiner 1931: 85 - 89) is often cited to fill this gap, because it apparently reflects its beginning. However, the story is only a literary fiction, composed around 200 years later not to renarrate the *casus belli*, but to exploit this period as background for a satire on the "king's novel," a specific type of text.

About three years after Seqenenra's death, his successor Kamose conquered and dismantled the town of Neferusi, and destroyed other Middle Egyptian cities loyal to the Hyksos (Helck 1983a: 90; CT I. 14-15; 95, l. 28; cf. Polz 1998: 230, n. 75). He also raided Avaris according to l. 7-18 (Helck 1983a: 92 - 94) of his second stela, an event which is alluded to by Emhab and Tjau. In the Bahariya oasis the Egyptians intercepted a message from the Hyksos Apep to the Nubian king. This could be the reason for Kamose's return to Thebes, which is described on his stela as being "triumphal" (Helck 1983a: 96, l. 30-35), but which was perhaps a mere tactical retreat to prevent a war on two fronts—the biographical inscription of Sobeknakht of Elkab documents a Nubian invasion, which reached at least Elkab some decades earlier (Davies 2003a, 2003b), so a potential Nubian threat might have played a role in the policy of Kamose's days. A possible Nubian campaign before or after the Hyksos campaign is refuted by Krauss (1993), but cannot be ruled out altogether.

Ahмосe, the son of Seqenenra, ascended the throne at a very young age; and his grandmother Tetisheru and later his mother Ahhotep acted as regents for him at the beginning. Remarkably, we hear nothing about Nubian or Hyksos counterstrikes in his early days, which might be due to these powerful women (especially Ahhotep, who was deeply involved in politics, cf. Sethe *Urk. IV*: 21). Memphis and Heliopolis must have been conquered before or during Ahмосe's first regnal phase. Both events apparently predate the military career of Ahмосe, son of Ibana, in whose biography the former is merely alluded to in the ship's name "Appearing in Memphis." The

conquests of Heliopolis and Tjaru are mentioned in day-book-like entries on the verso of Papyrus Rhind in a year 11, which most likely is the regnal year of a Hyksos king, because his Theban adversary is simply called "prince of the south."

The following conquest of Avaris is briefly described in the biography of Ahмосe, son of Ibana (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 3.7 - 4.13), which is nevertheless our main source for this event. The besieged city of Ahмосe II's battle relief might have also been the Hyksos capital. The inscription on the above mentioned lance-head, identifying it as booty from Avaris, is paleographically dated to year 18 of Ahмосe or later (for the date, compare Vandersleyen 1971: 205 - 228). The conquest itself did not involve large-scale destruction, as was concluded by the absence of extensive destruction layers (Bietak 1994: 29, 2011: 164, 169 - 170).

Ahмосe's conquest of Sharuhin in Southern Palestine (i.e., Tell el-Ajjul, Morris 2005: 51 - 52, 60 - 67) is regarded as the end point of the Hyksos period; further Asiatic campaigns of Ahмосe are rejected by Vandersleyen (1971: 89 - 127), but accepted by Helck (1971: 114, cf. Morris 2005: 29 - 30)

Thereafter, Ahмосe turned towards Nubia, and he reached the second cataract (Sethe *Urk. IV*: 5.4 - 14). Immediately after this campaign, he had to fight back a Nubian revolt, led by a certain "Aata" (perhaps a title and not a name), who invaded Upper Egypt (Vandersleyen 1971: 64 - 81)—perhaps a Nubian counterstrike.

The last challenge he had to face was the revolt led by Tetian, who might have held the office of a city commander (*tzw*) and who opposed the abolition of this office (see below and Helck 1986).

Ahмосe left to his successors a reunified country with a small Nubian province and a bridgehead in Asia. Within a few decades the Theban state was transformed from a territorially limited principality to one of the leading empires of the ancient Near East. At the beginning, the Egyptian policy concentrated on the Nubian stage (Hoffmeier 2004; Morris 2005: 68 - 77; Schmitz 1978: 194 - 200). There were some raids into Asia (for Amenhotep I, see Morris 2005: 30 - 31; for Thutmose I, see Helck 1983a: no. 125, l. 1; Sethe *Urk. IV*: 9.8 - 10.3,

697.5), but in general, the time of the great wars in the Levant did not begin before the reign of Thutmose III (Hoffmeier 2004: especially 134).

Commerce and Diplomacy

Due to its geographical location, the Hyksos state connected the Fertile Crescent with the Nile Valley. Numerous ceramics from the Levant in Avaris are evidence for a bustling trade with this region (e.g., Aston 2002; Bietak 2002). Additionally there are very few, but widespread findings for interconnections with the Aegean world (Bietak 2007; Helck 1995: 37 - 41), Cyprus (Karageorghis 1995), Mesopotamia (for the first piece of diplomatic correspondence, see van Koppen and Radner 2009), and perhaps Anatolia (see the vessel fragment from Bogazköy mentioned above). After the expulsion of the Hyksos, Thebes maintained the contacts with the Levant and Cyprus, and—perhaps not coincidental—with the Aegean world (Helck 1978, 1995) with its excellent weaponry. The Theban connections to the south were twofold: on the one hand, there are some indications for conflicts between Egyptians and Nubians in the SIP, a prime example of which being the recently discovered biography of Sobeknakht (see above). On the other hand, Nubians (i.e., Kerma and pan-grave people) were living in Egypt (Bourriau 1981; Meurer 1996: 83 - 89), and likewise Egyptians were living in Lower Nubia (e.g., Kubisch 2008: 87 - 88 for the case of Buhen). They had been trading partners, settlers, and they even served in the armies of their respective rulers.

Administration

Very few details are known about the administration of both the Hyksos and the Theban kingdom. Seemingly the Hyksos' "homeland" consisted of a small, directly-ruled territory in the Eastern Delta and in southern Palestine. The most important official was apparently the "treasurer" (Helck 1958b: 79 - 80). Parts of Lower and Middle Egypt were controlled through satellite states.

The administration of the Theban state was primarily a heritage of the Middle Kingdom. The "Duties of the Vizier," written down in the tomb of Rekhmira and in other Theban tombs, were

composed in the reign of Ahmose according to van den Boorn (1988: 334 - 371); but there is no vizier attested before the reign of Thutmose I (Imhotep). The most important titles and offices of the late SIP Theban state were the treasurer (e.g., Neshi in Kamose's time), the sealers of the king, the mayors (*(h)stj-ꜥ*), the city commanders (*(t)zw*), and the "king's sons" (*(z) nsw*). Altogether, the administration is characterized by decentralization (Grajetzki 2011); but contrary to the First Intermediate Period, this did not cause a political regionalism, since the king remained an important figure in biographies of this time (Kubisch 2008: 30 - 39). In fact, prominent non-royal families (for a hypothetical "Tety-clan," see Helck 1986, for the large family of Ahmose-Turo and Ahmose-Aametju, see Shirley 2010) may have exerted influence over royal decisions, according to Helck (1994, though sometimes over-interpreting). Phenomena can be observed at this time, which were occasionally interpreted as efforts to strengthen the position of the king: an excessive brother-sister marriage system, the reactivation of coregency, the cancellation of the inheritability of offices, and the abolition of the institution of "king's sons." One of the few remaining titular princes was the commander of Buhen, and this combination of offices is the origin of the "king's son (of Kush) and overseer of the southern foreign countries," i.e., the viceroy of Kush (Schmitz 1976: 268).

The sacerdotal administration likewise underwent reforms in the reign of Ahmose, especially a hierarchization of the priesthood (Barbotin 2008: 106 - 107). The office of the "god's wife of Amun" was created, which was held by a female member of the royal family during the following generations. It is highly problematic to define its functions, and to trace its evolution, because the sources are dispersed over centuries. The office holder had important priestly functions in the cult of Amun (e.g., Gitton 1984: 39 - 42), but she was also partly involved—at least in later times—in the secular administration (cf. Graefe 1981, esp. the diagram at the end of vol. 2). It was often assumed that she, being a princess, conferred legitimacy on the future king by marrying him (e.g., Schmitz 1976: 306), but this theory is highly speculative (cf. Robins 1983).

Building activity

The building activity of the Hyksos was mainly concentrated on Avaris and its hinterland. Prior to the Hyksos conflict, the building activity of the Theban state was concentrated self-evidently on Upper Egypt, i.e., mainly on the area between Abydos and Edfu (Polz 2007: 113). Other prominent cities of this time were Koptos and Elkab, but there is a clear focus on the Theban area.

While there are also important non-royal SIP cemeteries in Edfu and Elkab, the most important cemeteries of the early NK can be found in Western Thebes. The continued use of the royal cemetery in Dra Abu el-Naga until the reign of Amenhotep I (Polz 2007) is proof of a real, or at least a propagated, continuity of the royal line. The Thutmosids moved to the Valley of the Kings (Thutmose I, according to Roehrig 2006), thus separating the burial place from the cult place, and abandoning the artificial pyramid as tomb type (the last Egyptian royal pyramid was built by Ahmose in Abydos; see Wegner 2009 for its place in the development of royal tombs).

Starting in this period, the temple of Karnak and the estate of Amun-Ra were gradually enlarged according to the god's growing prominence; however, this picture would be different if other important cult centers, e.g., Heliopolis, were better known. Memphis again became an important political center after the reunification, and we know of a palace of Thutmose I (Helck *Urk. IV*: 2028.7).

Besides this, the Ahmosid building activity displays two features: on the one hand, securing strategic points (Polz 1998) and (re-)erecting military bases at the southern and northern frontiers (Morris 2005: 101 - 108; for Avaris as a military base, see Bietak 1994: 44); on the other hand, restoration activity. An eloquent testimony for the latter is Ahmose's Tempest Stela (Helck 1983a: 104 - 110; Klug 2002: 35 - 46)—irrespective of the question whether the mentioned destruction is to be located in the Delta (Goedicke 1992: 60 - 61), in Thebes (Klug 2002: 45; Vandersleyen 1967: 155 - 156), in the Ahmosid territory (Wiener and Allen 1998: 19), or in the whole of Egypt (Foster and Ritner 1996: 5 - 7), and irrespective of the question

whether it was caused by the eruption of the Thera volcano and calamities connected to it (Foster and Ritner 1996: 7; Goedicke 1992: 60 - 61), a severe tempest (Klug 2002: 45; Wiener and Allen 1998: 18 - 19), or by the Hyksos (Morenz 1996: 160; Ryholt 1997: 144; Wiener and Allen 1998: 20).

Social and Cultural History

In the SIP, the Egyptians experienced not only a new division of the country similar to what happened in the First Intermediate Period, but also foreign rule. According to the grave goods and the architectural features of Theban non-royal tombs (e.g., shared cult places), important care was taken for integration into the community and for defense against enemies (Seiler 2005: 193 - 199). The biographies from this time focused among other things on public welfare and patronage (Kubisch 2008: 23 - 29) and on closeness to a god, which can be interpreted as a first glimpse of the "personal piety" of the NK (Kubisch 2008: 42 - 46), and which in some later cases provoked even secular sightseeing of sacred places (Helck 1969: 296).

The late SIP/early NK is characterized by a sense of a new era. Contrary to the Third Intermediate Period, the foreign domination did not result in self-isolation, but in an opening up to new ideas and new technologies in the fields of art (Bietak 2007; Helck 1978), literature (Helck 1983b), craftsmanship (the fast potter's wheel, Bietak 1986: 335), and weaponry (Spalinger 2005: 1 - 31; Wolf 1926: *passim*). Alien specialists were appreciated for their skills and abilities (e.g., Minoan wall painters in Tell el-Dabaa or Aegean and Syrian ship-builders). The upper class emphasized the achievements of their own career, and they proudly announced the invention of new chronometers (Amenemhat; Helck 1983a: 110 - 112, no. 125), plastering techniques (Ineni; Sethe *Urk. IV*: 57.9-10), and hieroglyphs (Senenmut; Sethe *Urk. IV*: 406.1-11). In contrast, at the same time archaizing features in art and the copying of older literary texts can be observed. It is a period of collecting and sorting the old knowledge, and of writing compendia and similar compositions on medicine (Papyrus Ebers, Papyrus Smith, Papyrus Hearst), mathematics (Papyrus Rhind), literature/narrative mythology (Papyrus Westcar), (mythical) topography (Amduat),

and administration (“Duties of the Vizier”). The so-called *Book of the Dead* (not being a canonical compendium, this title was invented by Lepsius for his publication of Papyrus Turin Cat. 1791 = P. Turin CG 17449) was likewise created in the time of the SIP/early NK.

A type of “knighthood” evolved, whose members (often connected with the designation *maryannu*) were loyal “retainers of the lord” on the battlefield, who even report self-confidently that they rescued the king (Bolshakov and Soushevski 1998; Helck 1971: 482 - 486). One of these “knights” was the king himself, who, according to Helck (1969: 288) “*steht in dieser Welt und nicht über ihr*” (stands in this world and not above it)—but as a result of this new concept, he actually had to “demonstrate his credibility through action” (for this need, see Redford 2006: 338 - 342).

Somewhat contradicting this aspect of the human king, the old concept of the divine king still existed and is even emphasized. Some members of the royal family were later even revered as deified humans or as gods themselves, e.g., Ahmose Sapaär (Vandersleyen 2005), Amenhotep I, and Ahmose-Nefertari (Černý 1927; Hollender 2009). In particular the king’s relation to Amun-Ra is pointed out: the god fathered the king and thus preselected him, and he chose him as ruler through oracles (in doing so, he intervened directly in history for the first time, Assmann 1984: 226). Amun-Ra became the lord of the world order, the good shepherd for mankind, and the patron for those who follow him. The latter implies that one has deliberately turned to him—a relationship between the human and divine spheres, which is an integral part of personal piety (Assmann 1984: 231, 265).

Significance and Main Phenomena

As can be seen from the previous paragraphs, the Ahmosid period is of great importance both for Egyptian culture and for Egyptological historiography. The experience of a foreign rule resulted in a highly militaristic and sometimes imperialistic policy. But there are also other outcomes: new ideas and new technologies were adopted, while at the same time past wisdom and achievements were recollected and revived. Amun-Ra became, on the one hand, an object of personal piety, and on the other hand, the Lord of All. The world was more complicated and the perception of one’s own position in it, as well as the behavior towards neighboring cultures, had changed. Besides war, the NK also became a period of diplomacy; and besides the Egyptian claim on superiority over the world, at least since the late Thutmosid period, the pharaohs accepted foreign rulers as “brothers” (perhaps not coincidentally Ahmose is the first Egyptian ruler who bore the epithet “king of kings,” which connected both concepts), and foreign specialists lived and worked in Egypt.

This period is considered a new, glorious era according to the view of posterity, and as an important turning point in Egyptian history by most Egyptologists. Especially the wars of this time and of the later NK were the focus of research since the early days of Egyptology—doubtless due to the militaristic perspective of the nineteenth century’s historiography, perhaps also due to the positive connotation evoked by the concept of “liberation,” which is connected to the Hyksos conflict.

Bibliographic Notes

The reconstruction of the events of the early NK is a favored subject of research, a part of every analysis of the NK and of every overview of Egyptian history in general. Vandersleyen (1995) is a comprehensive outline of the history of the Middle and New Kingdom, as well as a discussion of the relevant sources (the 17th and early 18th Dynasties are treated in Vandersleyen 1995: 163 - 270). Von Beckerath (1965) and Ryholt (1997) are contributions to the reconstruction of the chronological framework and events of the SIP; see furthermore

Schneider (1998). Important results of cultural and social developments, based on archaeological data, can be found in Polz (2007). For overarching features of the characteristics of the SIP and a comparison with the First Intermediate Period, see Franke (1999). Vandersleyen (1971, mainly focusing on the political history), Barbotin (2008), and Schmitz (1978) are rather biographical analyses of the times of Ahmose and Amenhotep I. For military and non-military interconnections of Egypt with the Levant (thus of course also reconstructing parts of the history of this epoch), see Helck (1971) and Redford (1995). The complicated genealogy of the royal family is reconstructed in Grimm (1999) and Stasser (2002); for the disputed links to the previous Intefkings, see the remarks in Ryholt (1997: 289) and Dodson and Hilton (2004: 122).

The written sources of this period are collected in Urkunden IV, Helck (1983a), and Kubisch (2008). The translations of Breasted, commonly used in Anglo-American analyses, should be amended by more recent editions and translations. For that, see the translation volumes of Urkunden IV, the editio princeps of the Kamose texts in Habachi (1972; furthermore Smith and Smith 1976, and Biston-Moulin 2011 for its original location and function), the already mentioned edition of Kubisch (which includes a historical and sociocultural analysis), and—for Ahmose's and Amenhotep I's stelae—Klug (2002). A collection of relevant written sources, translated by Redford, as well as a discussion of archaeological sources and various aspects of the SIP, can be found in Oren (1997). For the latter, see more recently also Marée (2011).

The excavation results of Avaris are to be published in the book series Tell el-Dabʿa I-XX, published by various authors in the series *Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Denkschriften der Gesamtakademie*. For Abydos, see Harvey (1998), but the results of the excavation will be published in the near future; for pre-Thutmosid Karnak, see, e.g., Graindorge and Martinez (1989), Gabolde et al. (1999), Graindorge (2002), and most recently Charloux and Mensan (2012). Biston-Moulin (2012) published door fragments from Karnak, which are inscribed with the protocol of Senakhtenra and a brief building inscription, thus proving his historicity, and revealing that his formerly debated nomen was likewise Ahmose. Therefore Nebpehtyra Ahmose (I) has to be renumbered as Ahmose II.

References

- Assman, Jan
1984 *Ägypten: Theologie und Frömmigkeit einer frühen Hochkultur*. Stuttgart: Kolhammer.
- Aston, David
2002 Ceramic imports at Tell el Dabʿa during the Middle Bronze IIA. In *The Middle Bronze Age in the Levant: Proceedings of an international conference on MB IIA ceramic material, Vienna, 24th - 26th of January 2001*, Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Denkschriften der Gesamtakademie 26, ed. Manfred Bietak, pp. 43 - 87. Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Barbotin, Christophe
2008 *Ahmosis et le début de la XVIII^e dynastie*. Paris: Pygmalion.
- Beckerath, Jürgen von
1965 *Untersuchungen zur politischen Geschichte der zweiten Zwischenzeit in Ägypten*. Ägyptologische Forschungen 5. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.
- Bietak, Manfred
1986 Tell el-Jahudija-Keramik. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Vol. 6 (columns 335 - 348), ed. Wolfgang Helck, and Wolfhardt Westendorf. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
1994 Historische und archäologische Einführung. In *Pharaonen und Fremde – Dynastien im Dunkel*, Sonderausstellung des Historischen Museums der Stadt Wien 194, ed. Irmgard Hein, and Christophe Barbotin, pp. 17 - 57. Vienna: Eigenverlag Museen der Stadt Wien.
2002 Relative and absolute chronology of the Middle Bronze Age: Comments on the present state of research. In *The Middle Bronze Age in the Levant: Proceedings of an international conference on MB IIA ceramic material, Vienna, 24th*

- 26th of January 2001, Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Denkschriften der Gesamtkademie 26, ed. Manfred Bietak, pp. 29 - 42. Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- 2007 *Taureador scenes in Tell el-Daba (Avaris) and Knossos*. Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Denkschriften der Gesamtkademie 43. Vienna: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- 2011 From where came the Hyksos and where did they go? In *The Second Intermediate Period (Thirteenth - Seventeenth Dynasties): Current research, future prospects*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 192, ed. Marcel Marée, pp. 139 - 181. Leuven, Paris, and Walpole, Mass.: Peeters.
- Bietak, Manfred, and Eugen Strouhal
1974 Die Todesumstände des Pharaos Seqenenre^c. *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien* 78, pp. 29 - 52.
- Biston-Moulin, Sébastien
2011 De Sésostris I^{er} à Kamosis: Note sur un remploi de Karnak. *Égypte Nilotique et Méditerranéenne* 4, pp. 81 - 90.
2012 Le roi Sénakht-en-Rê Ahmès de la XVII^e dynastie. *Égypte Nilotique et Méditerranéenne* 5, pp. 61 - 71.
- Bolshakov, Andrey, and Andrey Soushechvski
1998 Hero and society in ancient Egypt: Part 1. *Göttinger Miszellen* 163, pp. 7 - 25.
- Bourriau, Janine
1981 Nubians in Egypt during the Second Intermediate Period: An interpretation based on the Egyptian ceramic evidence. In *Studien zur altägyptischen Keramik*, Sonderschrift des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 9, ed. Dorothea Arnold, pp. 25 - 41. Mainz: Philipp von Zabern.
- Černý, Jaroslav
1927 Le culte d'Amenophis I^{er} chez les ouvriers de la nécropole thébaine. *Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale* 27, pp. 159 - 203.
- Charloux, Guillaume, and Romain Mensan
2012 *Karnak avant la XVIII^e dynastie: Contribution à l'étude des vestiges en brique crue des premiers temples d'Amon-Rè*. *Etudes d'Égyptologie* 11. Paris: Soleb.
- Davies, Vivian
2003a Kouch en Égypte: Une nouvelle inscription historique à Elkab. *Bulletin de la Société Française d'Égyptologie* 157, pp. 38 - 44.
2003b Sobeknakht of Elkab and the coming of Kush. *Egyptian Archaeology* 23, pp. 3 - 6.
- Dodson, Aidan, and Dyan Hilton
2004 *The complete royal families of ancient Egypt*. London: Thames & Hudson.
- Foster, Karen, and Robert Ritner
1996 Texts, storms, and the Thera eruption. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 55, pp. 1 - 14.
- Franke, Detlef
1999 Erste und Zweite Zwischenzeit – Ein Vergleich. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 117, pp. 119 - 129.
- Friedrich, Walter, Bernd Kromer, Michael Friedrich, Jan Heinemeier, Tom Pfeiffer, and Sahra Talamo
2006 Santorini eruption radiocarbon dated to 1627 - 1600 B.C. *Science* 312, p. 548.
- Gabolde, Luc, Jean-François Carlotti, and Ernst Czerny
1999 Aux origines de Karnak: Les recherches récentes dans la „cour du Moyen Empire“. *Bulletin de la Société d'Égyptologie* 23, pp. 31 - 49.
- Gardiner, Alan
1931 *Late-Egyptian stories*. Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca 1. Bruxelles: Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth. (Reprint 1981.)
1935 *Hieratic papyri in the British Museum, Third Series, Chester Beatty gift, Vol. 1: Text*. London: British Museum.
- Gauthier, Henri
1912 *Le livre des rois d'Égypte: Recueil de titres et protocoles royaux, noms propres des rois, reines, princes, princesses et parents de rois, Vol. 2: De la XIII^e à la fin de la XVIII^e dynastie*. Mémoires de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale du Caire 18. Paris: Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

- Gitton, Michel
1984 *Les Divines Épouses de la 18^e Dynastie*. Centre de recherches d'histoire ancienne 61. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Goedicke, Hans
1992 The chronology of the Thera/Santorin explosion. *Ägypten & Levante* 3, pp. 57 - 62.
- Graefe, Erhart
1981 *Untersuchungen zur Verwaltung und Geschichte der Institution der Gottesgemahlin des Amun vom Beginn des Neuen Reiches bis zur Spätzeit*. Ägyptologische Abhandlungen 37. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Graindorge, Catherine
2002 Der Tempel des Amun-Re von Karnak zu Beginn der 18. Dynastie. In *5. Ägyptologische Tempeltagung: Würzburg, 23. - 26. September 1999*, Ägypten und Altes Testament 33,3, ed. Horst Beinlich et al., pp. 83 - 90. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Graindorge, Catherine, and Philippe Martinez
1989 Karnak avant Karnak: Les constructions d'Aménophis I^{er} et les premières liturgies amoniennes. *Bulletin de la Société française d'Égyptologie* 115, pp. 36 - 64.
- Grajetzki, Wolfram
2011 Notes on administration in the Second Intermediate Period. In *The Second Intermediate Period (Thirteenth - Seventeenth Dynasties): Current research, future prospects*, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 192, ed. Marcel Marée, pp. 305 - 312. Leuven, Paris, and Walpole, Mass.: Peeters.
- Grimm, Alfred
1999 Im Zeichen des Mondes. In *Im Zeichen des Mondes: Ägypten zu Beginn des Neuen Reiches*, Schriften aus der Ägyptischen Sammlung 7, ed. Alfred Grimm, and Sylvia Schoske, pp. 34 - 49. Munich: Lipp.
- Habachi, Labib
1972 *The second stela of Kamose and his struggle against the Hyksos ruler and his capital*. Abhandlungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts 8. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.
- Harvey, Stephen
1998 *The cults of King Ahmose at Abydos*. PhD dissertation, University of Pennsylvania.
- Helck, Wolfgang
1958a *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie (Urk. IV): Vol. 22: Inschriften der Könige von Amenophis III. bis Harembab und ihrer Zeitgenossen*. Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums IV. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
1958b *Zur Verwaltung des Mittleren und Neuen Reiches*. Probleme der Ägyptologie 3. Leiden and Cologne: Brill.
1969 Überlegungen zur Geschichte der 18. Dynastie. *Oriens Antiquus* 8, pp. 281 - 327.
1971 *Die Beziehungen Ägyptens zu Vorderasien im 3. und 2. Jahrtausend v. Chr.* Ägyptologische Abhandlungen 5. 2nd edition. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
1978 Ägypten und die Ägäis im 16. Jahrhundert v. Chr.: Chronologisches und archäologisches. *Jahresbericht des Instituts für Vorgeschichte der Universität Frankfurt a. M., München 1977*, pp. 7 - 20.
1983a *Historisch-biographische Texte der 2. Zwischenzeit und neue Texte der 18. Dynastie*. Kleine Ägyptische Texte 6. 2nd edition. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
1983b Zur Herkunft der Erzählung des sog. „Astartepapyrus“. In *Fontes atque pontes: Eine Festgabe für Hellmut Brunner*, Ägypten und Altes Testament 5, ed. Manfred Görg, pp. 215 - 223 Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
1986 Der Aufstand des Tetian. *Studien zur Altägyptischen Kultur* 13, pp. 125 - 133.
1994 Die Männer hinter dem König und die Königswahl. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 121, pp. 36 - 51.
1995 *Die Beziehungen Ägyptens und Vorderasiens zur Ägäis bis ins 7. Jahrhundert v. Chr.* Erträge der Forschung 120. 2nd edition. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Hodjache, Svetlana, and Oleg Berlev
1977 Objets royaux du Musée des Beaux-Arts Pouchkine à Moscou. *Chronique d'Égypte* 52(103), pp. 22 - 39.

- Hoffmeier, James
2004 Aspects of Egyptian foreign policy in the 18th Dynasty in Western Asia and Nubia. In *Egypt, Israel and the ancient Mediterranean world: Studies in honor of Donald B. Redford*, Probleme der Ägyptologie 20, ed. Gary Knoppers, and Antoine Hirsch, pp. 121 - 141. Leiden and Boston: Brill.
- Hollender, Gabriele
2009 *Amenophis I. und Ahmes Nefertari: Untersuchungen zur Entwicklung ihres posthumen Kultes anhand der Privatgräber der thebanischen Nekropole*. Sonderschrift des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 23. Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Karageorghis, Vassos
1995 Relations between Cyprus and Egypt: Second Intermediate Period and XVIIIth dynasty. *Ägypten & Levante* 5, pp. 73 - 79.
- Klotz, David
2010 Emhah versus *tmrbrn*: Monomachy and the expulsion of the Hyksos. *Studien zur Altägyptischen Kultur* 39, pp. 211 - 241.
- Klug, Andrea
2002 *Königliche Stelen in der Zeit von Ahmose bis Amenophis III*. Monumenta Aegyptiaca 8. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Krauss, Rolf
1993 Zur Problematik der Nubienpolitik Kamoses sowie der Hyksosherrschaft in Oberägypten. *Orientalia* 62, pp. 17 - 29.
- Kubisch, Sabine
2008 *Lebensbilder der 2. Zwischenzeit: Biographische Inschriften der 13. - 17. Dynastie*. Sonderschrift des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 34. Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Marée, Marcel
2011 *The Second Intermediate Period (Thirteenth - Seventeenth Dynasties): Current research, future prospects*. Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 192. Leuven, Paris, and Walpole, Mass.: Peeters.
- Meurer, Georg
1996 *Nubien in Ägypten bis zum Beginn des Neuen Reiches: Zur Bedeutung der Stele Berlin 14753*. Abhandlungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts Kairo. Ägyptologische Reihe 13. Berlin: Achet Verlag.
- Meyer, Eduard
2000 *Geschichte des Altertums II.1: Die Zeit der ägyptischen Großmacht; II.2.: Der Orient vom 12. bis zur Mitte des 8. Jahrhunderts*. n.p.: Mundus Verlag. (Reprint of the 1952 - 1958 edition, itself an edition revised by Hans Erich Stier.)
- Miniaci, Gianluca
2009 The archaeological exploration of Dra Abu el-Naga. In *Seven seasons at Dra Abu el-Naga: The tomb of Huy (TT 14): Preliminary results*, Progetti 3, ed. Maria Betrò, P. Del Vesco, and Gianluca Miniaci, pp. 36 - 56. Pisa: Edizioni Plus.
- Moeller, Nadine, and Gregory Marouard
2011 Discussion of late Middle Kingdom and early Second Intermediate Period history and chronology in relation to the Khayan sealings from Tell Edfu. *Ägypten und Levante* 21, pp. 87 - 121.
- Morenz, Ludwig
1996 *Beiträge zur Schriftlichkeitskultur im Mittleren Reich und in der 2. Zwischenzeit*. Ägypten und Altes Testament 29. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Morris, Ellen
2005 *The architecture of imperialism: Military bases and the evolution of foreign policy in Egypt's New Kingdom*. Probleme der Ägyptologie 22. Leiden: Brill.
- Murnane, William
1977 *Ancient Egyptian coregencies*. Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilizations 40. Chicago: Oriental Institute.

- Oren, Eliezer (ed.)
1997 *The Hyksos: New historical and archaeological perspectives*. Philadelphia: University Museum.
- Panagiotopoulos, Diamantis
2000 Tributabgaben und Huldigungsgeschenke aus der Levante: Die ägyptische Nordexpedition in der 18. Dynastie aus strukturgegeschichtlicher Sicht. *Ägypten & Levante* 10, pp. 139 - 158.
- Parthey, Gustav
1864 *Ägyptische Personennamen bei den Klassikern, in Papyrusrollen, auf Inschriften*. Berlin: Nicolaische Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- Polz, Daniel
1998 Theben und Avaris: Zur „Vertreibung“ der Hyksos. In *Stationen: Beiträge zur Kulturgeschichte Ägyptens: Rainer Stadelmann gewidmet*, ed. Heike Guksch, and Daniel Polz, pp. 219 - 231. Mainz: Philipp von Zabern.
2006 Die Hyksos-Blöcke aus Gebelên: Zur Präsenz der Hyksos in Oberägypten. In *Timelines: Studies in honour of Manfred Bietak*, Vol. 1, Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 149.1, ed. Ernst Czerny et al., pp. 239 - 247. Leuven, Paris, and Dudley, Mass.: Peeters.
2007 *Der Beginn des Neuen Reiches: Zur Vorgeschichte einer Zeitenwende*. Sonderschrift des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 31. Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Redford, Donald
1981 Interim report on the excavations at East Karnak (1979 and 1980 seasons). *Journal of the Society for the Study of Egyptian Antiquities* 11, pp. 243 - 262.
1986 *Pharaonic king-lists, annals and day-books: A contribution to the study of the Egyptian sense of history*. Society of the Studies of Egyptian Antiquities Publication 4. Mississauga: Benben Publications.
1995 *Egypt, Canaan, and Israel in ancient Times*. 2nd edition. Cairo: Cairo Press.
2006 The northern wars of Thutmose III. In *Thutmose III: A new biography*, ed. Eric Cline, and David. O'Connor, pp. 325 - 343. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Robins, Gay
1983 A critical examination of the theory that the right to the throne of ancient Egypt passed through the female line in the 18th dynasty. *Göttinger Miszellen* 62, pp. 67 - 77.
- Roehrig, Catherine
2006 The building activities of Thutmose III in the Valley of the Kings. In *Thutmose III: A new biography*, ed. Eric Cline, and David. O'Connor, pp. 238 - 259. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Ryholt, Kim
1997 *The political situation in Egypt during the Second Intermediate Period: c. 1800 - 1550 B.C.* Carsten Niebuhr Institute Publications 20. Kopenhagen: Museum Tusulanum Press.
- Schmitz, Bettina
1976 *Untersuchungen zum Titel ꜥA-njswt „Königssohn“*. Bonn: Rudolf Habelt Verlag GmbH.
- Schmitz, Franz-Jürgen
1978 *Amenophis I: Versuch einer Darstellung der Regierungszeit eines ägyptischen Herrschers der frühen 18. Dynastie*. Heidelberger Ägyptologische Beiträge 6. Hildesheim: Gerstenberg.
- Schneider, Thomas
1998 *Ausländer in Ägypten während des Mittleren Reiches und der Hyksoszeit, Part 1: Die ausländischen Könige*. Ägypten und Altes Testament 42. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Seiler, Anne
2005 *Tradition & Wandel: Die Keramik als Spiegel der Kulturentwicklung Thebens in der Zweiten Zwischenzeit*. Sonderschrift des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 32. Berlin and New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- Sethe, Kurt
1906- *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie (Urk. IV): Volume I - III: Historisch-biographische Urkunden (1906 - 1907)*. Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums IV. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs.

- Shirley, J. J.
2010 Viceroy, viziers & the Amun precinct: The power of heredity and strategic marriage in the early 18th dynasty. *Journal of Egyptian History* 3, pp. 73 - 113.
- Smith, Harry, and Alexandrina Smith
1976 A reconsideration of the Kamose texts. *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 103, pp. 48 - 76.
- Spalinger, Anthony
2005 *War in ancient Egypt*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Stasser, Thierry
2002 La famille d'Amosis. *Chronique d'Égypte* 77, pp. 23 - 46.
- Vandersleyen, Claude
1967 Une tempête sous le règne d'Amosis. *Revue d'Égyptologie* 19, pp. 123 - 159.
1971 *Les guerres d'Amosis, fondateur de la XVIII^e dynastie*. Monographies Reine Élisabeth 1. Bruxelles: Fond. Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth.
1995 *L'Égypte et la vallée du Nil, Vol. 2: De la fin de l'Ancien Empire à la fin du Nouvel Empire*. Paris: Presse Universitaire de France.
2005 *Iahmès-Sapair: Fils de Séqénenré Djébouty-Aa (17^e dynastie) et la statue du Musée du Louvre E 15682*. Brussels: Éditions Safran.
- van den Boorn, Guido
1988 *The Duties of the Vizier: Civil administration in the early New Kingdom*. Studies in Egyptology. London: Kegan Paul International.
- van Koppen, Franz, and Karen Radner
2009 Ein Tontafelfragment aus der diplomatischen Korrespondenz der Hyksosherrscher mit Babylonien. *Ägypten & Levante* 19, pp. 115 - 118. (A contribution within Bietak et al. "Der Hyksospalast bei Tell el-Dab'a: Zweite und dritte Grabungskampagne (Frühling 2008 und Frühling 2009)", pp. 91 - 119 of the same volume.)
- Vernus, Pascal
1982 La stèle du roi Sekhemsankhtaouyre Neferhotep Iykhernofert et la domination Hyksos (Stèle Caire JE 59635). *Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Égypte* 68, pp. 129 - 135.
- Wegner, Josef
2009 The tomb of Senwosret III at Abydos: Considerations on the origins and development of the royal Amduat-tomb. In *Archaism and innovation: Studies in the culture of Middle Kingdom Egypt*, ed. David P. Silverman, William Kelly Simpson, and Josef Wegner, pp. 103 - 169. New Haven and Philadelphia: Sawyer Printers.
- Wente, Edward
1995 *Who was who among the royal mummies*. The Oriental Institute News and Notes 144. (available online at: http://oi.uchicago.edu/research/pubs/nn/win95_wente.html)
- Wiener, Malcom, and James Allen
1998 The Ahmose Tempest Stela and the Theran eruption. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 57, pp. 1 - 28.
- Wolf, Walther
1926 *Die Bewaffnung des altägyptischen Heeres*. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs'sche Buchhandlung.