

Also in this volume of the journal, we are pleased to include an essay and reviews from local contributors. In “A Framework for Equitable Investment: Lessons from the Effort to Ensure that Climate Investments Meet the Needs of Underserved and Overburdened Communities,” attorneys Chelsea Tu and Richard Marcantonio present a framework to guide more equitable investment of California’s cap-and-trade funds. Two members of our very own PhD room have contributed to this issue by reviewing books that dive into the complexity of cities and the ways in which planners deal with that complexity when approaching climate change. In “Understanding Cities through Networks and Flows” Geoff Boeing reviews the most recent book by renowned complexity theorist Michael Batty, who has described the art of modeling cities as systems of networks and flows in *The New Science of Cities*. Lizzy Mattiuzzi, in her review of *An Urban Politics of Climate Change: Experimentation and the Governing of Socio-technical Transitions*, describes how scholars Harriet Bulkeley, Vanesa Castán Broto, and Gareth A. S. Edwards have compared case studies of the ways in which different cities have pursued the goal of climate change mitigation through energy efficiency in housing.

We conclude Volume 28 with a special symposium that pays tribute to planning scholar Sir Peter Hall, who passed away in 2014. A pioneering urbanist, Hall was writing about issues of environmental justice in cities before the term had become part of the planning lexicon. He is perhaps best known for his work on the Garden Cities movement, a utopian movement that sought to unify the best parts of urban and rural life through innovations in environmental and social planning. In this symposium, we present three essays that follow in Hall’s footsteps by examining how the ideals of the Garden Cities movement arose and were transformed across space and time. In “Back to Utopia: Garden Cities of Yesterday” Nicola Szibbo examines how local contexts in which the Garden City model was implemented have influenced the ways in which an ideal became a reality. Graham Livesey, in “Innovation, the Agricultural Belt, and the Early Garden City,” describes how the movement grew out of the particular social contexts of the time and ideas about the importance of agriculture.

Finally, Katie O’Sullivan digs into perhaps the most famous garden city in “Letchworth: the First Garden City’s Economic Function Transcribed from Theory to Practice” to demonstrate how the political and social aspirations of the founders of the movement took a back seat to physical planning over the course of implementation.

Volume 28 of the Berkeley Planning Journal has been a long time in the making. In the past two years a number of people have put their fingerprints on this issue, and so we would like to take this opportunity to thank them for their input. At the top of that list are the former editors, Elizabeth Mattiuzzi, Andrea Broaddus, and Jesus Barajas, whose institutional knowledge has been indispensable. We are also grateful for the guidance our faculty advisor, Carolina Reid, for her encouragement to pursue some unconventional pieces and move the journal to its new online format. Many thanks also go out to our colleagues on the editorial board who have handled submissions with great care and efficiency. And finally, our appreciation goes out to all of our authors, in particular those who have stuck with us through this extended production time. We are pleased and proud to be able to put your pieces out into the world at long last.