

Why the Watchdog Won't Bite: U.S. Food and Drug Administration Challenges

Joe Lex, MD

Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Department of Clinical Emergency Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Section Editor: Mark I. Langdorf, MD MHPE

Submission history: Submitted September 20, 2016; Revision received ; Accepted

Electronically published November 4, 2016

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2016.9.32492

[West J Emerg Med. 2016;17(6)747-8.]

For many years before I retired, I gave a talk and wrote an essay about New Drugs and Devices.¹ Each year I learned more about interpreting the medical literature and more about the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

I was curious about why so many drugs were approved, only to be found useless – or worse, harmful – in practice, and even pulled from the market. I was fascinated by drugs that were approved despite offering no advantages over what was already available. I was mystified about a system in which the fox seemed to be guarding the henhouse. I read books by former editors² in which they admitted that the pharmaceutical industry controlled medical journal content in innumerable and unimaginable ways.

It took a disaster to bring the FDA into existence. The so-called “Massengill massacre” in 1937 caused deaths in more than 100 patients who took “elixir sulfanilamide,” which had used the poisonous diethylene glycol as an excipient. This stimulated the U.S. government to give the FDA power to oversee data for the approval of drugs, as well as many foods, medical devices, and cosmetics.³

Its finest hour may have come in 1962 when a stubborn physician/pharmacologist, Frances Oldham Kelsey, insisted on seeing better safety data for a drug used widely in Europe to treat “morning sickness” before she would allow it to be approved in the U.S. When the tragedy of thalidomide became known, the FDA was lauded for its cautious reasoning.⁴

During the 1980s’ AIDS epidemic, the FDA was vilified by activists who initially misunderstood its function.⁵ It is not the job of the FDA to develop and test new drugs, or to set their prices, but to evaluate the data presented to it by drug manufacturers and cautiously recommend approval or no approval. The Prescription Drug User Funding Act (PDUFA) of 1992 started shifting the manner in which the FDA was funded. Requiring commercial entities to pay for their own oversight agency sounds like an odd way to do business, but it is not uncommon in the U.S. where agencies such as Customs and Immigration Services (CIS) and the Federal Communication Commission (FCC)

also pay for their own oversight.⁶

The FDA is an imperfect watchdog. Its bite is not quite toothless, but it does little damage. Eli Lilly’s olanzapine (Zyprexa®) has been implicated in hundreds of deaths and thousands of cases of metabolic syndrome; fines were paid, but no one went to jail.⁷ Purdue Pharmaceuticals used fabricated data to get its long-acting oxycodone (OxyContin®) approved, and thousands of people became addicted and died; fines were paid, but no one served jail time.⁸ Rofecoxib (Vioxx®) was approved because Wyeth withheld data from the FDA showing that its drug quadrupled the risk of myocardial infarction; a fine was paid, but no one served jail time.⁹

Can we anticipate any major change? It is unlikely as long as the pharmaceutical industry controls the purse strings – not only of the FDA but of the government. There are more than two pharmaceutical lobbyists for every representative in Washington, D.C.¹⁰ The recent price rise in lifesaving drugs like epinephrine and naloxone show how powerless the government is in preventing patient harm.

The article in this month’s *WestJEM* by Zuabi et al. is based on a talk that I developed about 10 years ago but was seldom asked to give. When I gave it at the American Academy of Emergency Medicine Scientific Assembly in 2016, *WestJEM* Editor-in-Chief Mark Langdorf was in the audience and became intrigued. I was unable to dedicate the time to write the article but sent all of my references and resources, and this article was what came out of it. They did a great job of synthesizing my talk into a fascinating article, far better than I could have. I congratulate the authors.

Address for Correspondence: Joe Lex, MD, Lewis Katz School of Medicine at Temple University, Department of Clinical Emergency Medicine, Giant Steps, Act 3, LLC, 133 Heather Road, #105, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004. Email: joe@joellex.net.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. The authors disclosed none.

Copyright: © 2016 Lex et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

REFERENCES

1. Lex J. New drugs and devices from 2011 - 2012 that might change your practice. *West J Emerg Med*. 2013;14(6):619-28. Available at: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3876306/. Accessed Aug 29, 2016.
2. See <https://www.amazon.com/Truth-About-Drug-Companies-Deceive/dp/0375760946/> by long-time New England Journal of Medicine editor Marcia Angell and www.amazon.com/Trouble-Medical-Journals-Richard-Smith/dp/1853156736/ by BMJ editor Richard Smith, among others. Accessed Aug 29, 2016.
3. Ballentine. Sulfanilamide Disaster. Taste of Raspberries, Taste of Death The 1937 Elixir Sulfanilamide Incident. Available at: www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/WhatWeDo/History/ProductRegulation/SulfanilamideDisaster/default.htm
4. Kelsey FO. Problems Raised for the FDA by the Occurrence of Thalidomide Embryopathy in Germany, 1960-1961. *Am J Public Health Nations Health*. 1965;55(5): 703-7.
5. Crimp D. Before Occupy: How AIDS Activists Seized Control of the FDA in 1988. Available at: www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2011/12/before-occupy-how-aids-activists-seized-control-of-the-fda-in-1988/249302/. Accessed Aug 29, 2016.
6. De Muth C and Greve MS. Agency Finance in the Age of Executive Government. Available at: <http://administrativestate.gmu.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/DeMuth-Greve-For-Profit-Govt-final.pdf>. Accessed Aug 29, 2016).
7. Berenson A. "Eli Lilly Said to Play Down Risk of Top Pill". *NYT*. December 17, 2006.
8. Eban K. OxyContin: Purdue Pharma's painful medicine. Available at: <http://fortune.com/2011/11/09/oxycontin-purdue-pharmas-painful-medicine/>. Accessed Aug 29, 2016.
9. Yukhananov A. "Merck to pay \$950 million to settle U.S. Vioxx charge." Available at: www.reuters.com/article/us-doj-merck-idUSTRE7AL2C120111122. Accessed Aug 29, 2016.
10. Pharmaceuticals/Health Products - Industry Profile: Summary, 2016. Center for Responsive Politics. Available at: <https://www.opensecrets.org/lobby/indusclient.php?id=H04&year=a>. There are currently 1,274 registered lobbyists for the pharmaceutical industry in Washington D.C.