Critical event debriefing forms were adapted from the Debriefing in Situ Conversation after Emergent Resuscitation Now tool described by Mullan et al after a detailed literature review of best practices.

During a critical event debriefing, the interdisciplinary team is prompted to identify what went well in the care of the patient, what could have gone better, whether there was a patient safety threat, and to propose solutions to improve care. Residents work with the ED operations committee to address the action items identified during debriefings.

The first critical event debriefing session was completed in March of 2018 and 38 forms have been completed to date. Debriefing sessions identified issues with equipment (23), communication (9), transitions of care (5), medications (2), procedural skills (2), systems (1), and medical knowledge (1) and created explicit action items with suggested solutions. Many of the third (5/10) and fourth (8/10) year residents have participated in a debriefing session and all residents have been included in discussions on proposed solutions. This project improves patient care, satisfies the ACGME requirement for resident engagement in inter-professional quality improvement, and is easily adaptable to other residency programs.

DO NOT SCAN OR PUT INTO PATIENT'S CHART

Critical Event Debriefing Form

Place the completed form in lock box in red doc box

Place Patient Sticker Here

Advice for Running A Team Debriefing
1 Pick a quiet or isolated space if possible- start by thanking members for being present and encouraging all members to participate.
2 State: "The purpose of debriefing is for education, quality improvement and emotional processing: it is not a blaming session. Everyone's participation is welcome and encouraged."
3 State: "These debriefings usually take several minutes and if you have urgent issues to attend to, you are welcome to leave at any time."
4 State: "The physician will briefly review the patient's summary. Then as an entire team, we can discuss what went well and what could have gone better. Please feel free to ask any questions."

What triggered this debriefing?
☐ Code
☐ Other:______________

Time at start of resuscitation	
Time at end of resuscitation	
Patient outcome	___ Alive ___Expired
RN Leading debriefing	
RN filling out form	
Resident Team Leader	

Members Present at Debriefing
Check all that attend
☐ Attending Physician
☐ Consultant: __________
☐ EMS
☐ Family Advocate
☐ PCA
☐ Pharmacist
☐ Primary RN
☐ Reperfusion RN
☐ Resident Team Leader
☐ Respiratory Therapist
☐ Secondary RN
☐ Social Worker
☐ Zone Team Lead
☐ Other:__________
☐ Other:__________

Fill this section out during the debriefing
(Write on back of form if there is not enough space)
(Person writing not the person leading debriefing)

1 Time debriefing started: __________
2 What went well during our care for the patient?
3 What could have gone better during our care for this patient?
☐ Equipment Issue: ☐ Medication Issue:
☐ Staffing: ☐ Transitions of Care:
☐ Communication: ☐ Procedural Issues:
☐ Knowledge: ☐ Systems Issues:
4 Was there a patient safety issue? ☐ Yes ☐ No
5 Action items and suggested solutions:
6 Was the Physician Team Leader (PTL) the only doctor calling out medication orders? ☐ Yes ☐ No
7 Was anyone confused at any time during the resuscitation about who was the PTL? ☐ Yes ☐ No
8 Time debriefing ended: ___________
9 State: "If anyone wants counseling support, see referral number at the bottom of this form."

If anyone needs or requests a referral for free counseling, call your supervisor, ED Social Worker or INSIGHT 1-800-422-5322.

Information is privileged and confidential pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1157 For Quality Improvement Purposes Only
Adapted from Mullan PC, Wuestner E, Kerr TD, et al. Implementation of an in situ qualitative debriefing tool for resuscitations. Resuscitation. 2013; 84:946–951.

DO NOT SCAN OR PUT INTO PATIENT'S CHART

Figure 1. Critical Event Debrieding Form.

41 Teaching Outside the Box: A Health Humanities-Based Curriculum to Teach Social Determinants of Health

Balhara K, Irvin N, Regan L / Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Introduction: Understanding the impact of social determinants of health (SDoH) is important to EM resident development. Successful SDoH training should bridge classrooms and EDs by providing frameworks for translating knowledge to clinical application. The health humanities (HH) may serve as that bridge. While their impact on medical students' empathy and observation skills is widely established, there is limited evaluation of their impact in resident education and potential to promote critical thinking about SDoH.

Learning Objective: The objectives of this curriculum are to:

1) Encourage critical thinking about social determinants (SDoH) in EM
2) Foster meaningful engagement with patients, families, and communities
3) Promote self-reflection on clinical experience
4) Translate knowledge of SDoH into patient care

Design: Grounded in narrative medicine and visual thinking strategies, curriculum themes were identified by a consensus group of residents and faculty with HH, education, and social EM expertise, with input from nursing and patient councils. Feedback from 6 pilot sessions informed the format and duration of this 10-session, synchronous and asynchronous year-long curriculum. After an introductory museum-based session to encourage out-of-the-box discussion, subsequent sessions are themed by specific SDoH (addiction, health literacy, built environment, etc) and combine brief lectures with group discussions of thematically-relevant literature and art in both classroom and community settings.

Impact: This innovative approach encourages critical engagement with SDoH in the ED and surrounding community, creating a cognitive bridge between didactics and clinical practice. Over 80% of residents have rated sessions as "excellent". Residents' group discussion participation and evaluation responses demonstrate engagement, nuanced discussion, and critical thinking. We will compare pre- and post-surveys to assess impact on SDoH knowledge and SDoH use in clinical decision-making. Tips for effectiveness are in Table 1.

Table 1. Lessons learned for maximizing effectiveness of a health humanities-based SDH curriculum.

- Schedule in-conference activities earlier in the year to encourage attendance at subsequent asynchronous sessions
- Limit any pre-readings to a maximum of two to maximize nuanced discussion
- When possible, incorporate time for reading into sessions themselves to maximize engagement
- Incorporate multiple sources in each session (for instance, combine art, literature, non-fiction, podcasts)
- When possible, involve multidisciplinary stakeholders, such as peer recovery counselors, social workers, and patients, in both curriculum development and instruction
- Move the classroom beyond the walls of the hospital to surrounding communities via community field trips or visits to museums